Cannistraro's Volley In 'War of Words'

Ira Stoll's account of the clash between Staves Emerson and me at the University of South Florida con-tains one inaccuracy ("War of Words Erupts Among the Experts at Parley on Terror," Forward, Jan. 26). I did not tell Mr. Stoll that Mark Barroso, president of the Tampa chapter of the Society of Professional Journalists, paid for my trip to Florida. In fact, I paid for the trip myself. My mother, who is retired in the Tampa region, was the occasion of my visit. In addition, Mr. Stoll refers to a letter from Sheik Omer Abdel Rahman's attorney informing prosecutors of "Mr. Cannistraro's intention to testify on behalf of the Sheik." Mr. Emerson referred at the debate to a subpoena compelling my expert witness testimony on the nature of international terrorism. It is more than a fine point — I never suggested to the defense that I would provide an apologia for the sheik or his follow-

It must be pointed out as well that my disagreement with Mr. Emerson is substantive. He believes that a loose confederation of like-minded radical Islamists are conducting and supporting terrorism on American soil, and he has cited the World Trade Center bombing and the so-called Landmarks cases in support. On the contrary, there is now sufficient evidence available that the World Trade Center was an act of state-sponsored terrorism. The New York and New Jersey radicals who were convicted of the crime were organized and manipulated by Ramzi Yousuf (currently pending trial), an Iraqi intelligence agent. A failure to analyze the true nature of the terrorist threat will cost us dearly in the future.

Vincent M. Cannistraro McLean, Va.